#### The detection of gravitational waves

#### EGO/Virgo Visit, May 30th, 2018

#### Nicolas Arnaud (narnaud@lal.in2p3.fr)

Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire (CNRS/IN2P3 & Université Paris-Sud) European Gravitational Observatory (Consortium, CNRS & INFN)





I E G O GRAVITATIONAL OBSERVATORY

#### Outline

- Sources of gravitational waves
- The Virgo Collaboration
- The LIGO-Virgo network of detectors
- The August 2017 data taking period
- 2015-2017: the first detections of gravitational waves



Thanks to the many colleagues from the LAL Virgo group, from EGO, Virgo and LIGO, from wich I borrowed ideas and material for this talk

# Gravitational waves: sources and properties

### Gravitational waves (GW)

- One of the first predictions of general relativity (1916)
  - Accelerated masses induce perturbations of the spacetime which propagate at the speed of light
  - Linearization of the Einstein equations  $(g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1)$ leads to a propagation equation at the speed of light gravity far from the source
- Traceless and transverse (tensor) waves
  - 2 polarizations: «+» and «×»
- Quadrupolar radiation
  - Need to deviate from axisymmetry to emit GW
  - No dipolar radiation contrary to electromagnetism
- GW amplitude h is dimensionless
  - Scales with the inverse of the distance from the source
  - GW detectors sensitive to amplitude ( $h \propto 1/d$ ) and not intensity ( $h^2 \propto 1/d^2$ )
    - $\rightarrow$  Important to define the Universe volume a given detector is sensitive to



#### Effect of gravitational waves on test masses

• In **3D** 



### A diversity of sources

- Rough classification
  - Signal duration
  - Frequency range
  - Known/unknown waveform
  - Any counterpart (E.M., neutrinos, etc.) expected?
- Compact binary coalescence
  - Last stages of the evolution of a system like PSRB 1913+16
    - $\rightarrow$  Compact stars get closer and closer while loosing energy through GW
  - Three phases: inspiral, merger and ringdown
    - $\rightarrow$  Modeled via analytical computation and numerical simulations
  - Example: two masses M in circular orbit ( $f_{GW} = 2 f_{Orbital}$ )

$$h \approx 10^{-21} \left(\frac{500 \text{ Mpc}}{\text{Distance}}\right) \left(\frac{\text{Mass}}{30 \text{ M}_{\text{Sun}}}\right) \left(\frac{\text{Orbital radius}}{100 \text{ km}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\text{Frequency}}{100 \text{ Hz}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \text{ Hz}}{100 \text{ Hz}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{$$

- Transient sources (« bursts »)
  - Example: core collapses (supernovae)
- Permanent sources
  - Pulsars, Stochastic backgrounds





M

radi

M

#### Gravitational wave spectrum



LIGO, Virgo, etc.

7

#### Gravitational wave detectors

- Ground-based
  - Resonant bars (Joe Weber's pioneering work)
    - $\rightarrow$  Narrow band, limited sensitivity: not used anymore
  - Interferometric detectors
    - $\rightarrow$  LIGO, Virgo and others
    - → 2<sup>nd</sup> generation (« advanced ») detectors started operation Design studies have started for 3<sup>rd</sup> generation detectors (Einstein Telescope)
  - Pulsar Timing Array (<u>http://www.ipta4gw.org</u>)
    - $\rightarrow$  GW would vary the time of arrival pulses emitted by millisecond pulsars
- In space
  - Future mission eLISA (<u>https://www.elisascience.org</u>, 2030's)
  - Technologies tested by the LISA pathfinder mission, sent to space last December







# The Virgo collaboration

#### The Advanced Virgo detector scheme



10



#### If Virgo were located in Linköping...



#### The Virgo Collaboration

• 6 European countries



- 21 laboratories
- About 300 members (LIGO : 750)



#### The Virgo Collaboration

- 6 European countries
- 21 laboratories
- About 300 members (LIGO: 750)
- Virgo was built by 11 CNRS (France) and INFN (Italy) laboratories
  - Budget: ~150 M€
  - Groups from the Netherlands, Poland, Hungary and Spain joined later the project
- Advanced Virgo funding: ~20 M€
  Plus in-kind contribution from NIKHEF
- The EGO (European Gravitational Observatory) consortium is managing the Virgo site in Cascina. It provides the infrastructures and ressources to ensure the detector construction and operation

**APC** Paris **ARTEMIS** Nice **EGO** Cascina **INFN Firenze-Urbino INFN Genova INFN Napoli INFN Perugia INFN** Pisa **INFN Roma La Sapienza INFN Roma Tor Vergata INFN Padova INFN TIFPA** LAL Orsay – ESPCI Paris **LAPP Annecy LKB** Paris LMA Lyon **NIKHEF Amsterdam POLGRAW** (Poland) **RADBOUD Uni. Nijmegen RMKI Budapest Valence University** 

#### From initial to advanced detectors

- Goal: to improve the sensitivity by one order of magnitude
  - Volume of observable Universe multiplied by a factor 1,000
  - Rate should scale accordingly
    - $\rightarrow$  Assuming uniform distribution of sources (true at large scale)
- A wide range of improvements
  - Increase the input laser power
  - Mirrors twice heavier
  - Increase the beamspot size on the end mirrors
  - Fused silica bonding to suspend the mirrors
  - Improve vacuum in the km-long pipes
  - Cryotraps at the Fabry-Perot ends
  - Instrumentation & optical benches under vacuum



- Advanced LIGO (aLIGO) funded a year or so before Advanced Virgo (AdV)
  - Financial crisis in 2008-2010...
  - $\rightarrow$  aLIGO ready for its first « observation run » in September 2015
  - AdV upgrade completed mid-2017

## A global network of gravitational-wave interferometric detectors

#### A network of interferometric detectors







#### A network of interferometric detectors

- A single interferometer is not enough to detect GW
  - Difficult to separate a signal from noise confidently
  - There have been unconfirmed claims of GW detection
- → Need to use a network of interferometers
- Agreements (MOUs) between the different projects Virgo/LIGO: 2007
  - Share data, common analysis, publish together
- IFO: non-directional detectors; non-uniform response in the sky
- Threefold detection: reconstruct source location in the sky





### Exploiting multi-messenger information

- •Transient GW events are energetic
  - Only (a small) part of the released energy is converted into GW
    - $\rightarrow$  Other types of radiation released: electromagnetic waves and neutrinos
- Astrophysical alerts  $\Rightarrow$  tailored GW searches
  - Time and source location known ; possibly the waveform
    - → Examples: gamma-ray burst, type-II supernova
- GW detectors are also releasing alerts to a worldwide network of telescopes
  - Agreements signed with  $\sim$ 75 groups 150 instruments, 10 space observatories



- Low latency h-reconstruction and data transfer between sites
  - Online GW searches for burst and compact binary coalescences

#### Interferometer angular response

- An interferometer is not directional: it probes most of the sky at any time
  - More a microphone than a telescope!
- The GW signal is a linear combination of its two polarisations  $h(t) = F_{+}(t) \times h_{+}(t) + F_{\times}(t) \times h_{\times}(t)$ 
  - F<sub>+</sub> and F<sub>×</sub> are antenna pattern functions which depend on the source direction in the sky w.r.t. the interferometer plane
     → Maximal when perpendicular to this plane
    - $\rightarrow$  Blind spots along the arm bisector (and at 90 degres from it)



#### Virgo antenna pattern

- Two optimal directions
  - Zenith and nadir
- Four blind spots
  - All in the detector plane
  - Along the arm bissector and at 90 degrees from that



#### LIGO-Virgo antenna patterns

- LIGO detectors ≈ co-aligned
- Virgo has a different orientation







# Virgo O2 data taking August 1 – August 25 2017

- Duty cycle stripchart
  - Green ↔ Data taking in science mode



Segments' (vertical colored bands) are drawn from the longest to the shortest
 → Short segments look more visible than their actual weight in the dataset

• Duty cycle pie chart

Advanced Virgo O2 data taking -- 2017/08/01 10:00:00 UTC -> 2017/08/25 22:00:00 UTC



• Daily duty cycle



Virgo duty cycle: 2017/08/01 10:00 UTC -> 2017/08/25 22:00:00 UTC -- now: 2017/08/26 21:50:29 UTC

Bad weather conditions → High seismic activity

- Binary neutron star (BNS) range
  - Figure of merit summarizing the detector sensitivity

Virgo BNS range: 2017/08/01 -> 2017/08/25 -- now: 2017/10/05 22:24:04 UTC



#### Global network data taking

- Network duty cycle
  - Single detectors:



#### Green $\leftrightarrow$ Good science data

H1-L1-V1 network: 2017-08-01 10:00:00+00:00 UTC -> 2017-08-25 22:00:00+00:00 UTC -- segments: DMT-ANALYSIS\_READY (H1-L1), SCIENCE (V1); CAT1 applied



• Synchronized maintenance periods clearly visible

#### Global network data taking

• Pie charts comparing the LIGO and LIGO-Virgo network performances

Number of detectors online: 2017-08-01 10:00:00+00:00 UTC -> 2017-08-25 22:00:00+00:00 UTC -- segments: DMT-ANALYSIS\_READY (H1-L1), SCIENCE (V1); CAT1 applied



#### Global network data taking

• Comparing typical August 2017 sensitivities



# 2015-2017: the first detections of gravitational waves

#### 1916-2018: a century of progress

• 1916: GW prediction (Einstein)

**1957: Chapel Hill Conference** 

Theoretical developments

Experiments

• 1963: rotating BH solution (Kerr)

• 1990's: CBC PN expansion (Blanchet, Damour, Deruelle, Iyer, Will, Wiseman, etc.)

- 2000: BBH effective one-body approach (Buonanno, Damour)
- 2006: BBH merger simulation (Baker, Lousto, Pretorius, etc.)

(Bondi, Feynman, Pirani, etc.)

- 1960's: first Weber bars
- 1970: first IFO prototype (Forward)
- 1972: IFO design studies (Weiss)
- 1974: PSRB 1913+16 (Hulse & Taylor)
- 1980's: IFO prototypes (10m-long) (Caltech, Garching, Glasgow, Orsay)
   → End of 1980's: Virgo (Brillet, Giazotto) and LIGO proposals
- 1990's: LIGO and Virgo funded
- 2005-2011: initial IFO « science » » runs
- 2007: LIGO-Virgo MoU
- First half of the 2010's: Upgrades
- 2015: First Advanced LIGO run **First GW**
- 2017: First Advanced Virgo run **J** Detections 32

#### 1916-2018: a century of progress

• 1916: GW prediction (Einstein)

1957: Chapel Hil

• 1963: rotating BH solution



rs ype (Forward) ies (Weiss) Hulse & Taylor)

Adalberto Giazotto 1940 - 2017

1980 S. IFO prototypes (10m-long)
 (Caltech, Garching, Glasgow, Orsay)
 → End cf 10802 Wince (Drillet Given the second second



(Baker, Lousto, Pretorius, etc.)

05-20 07: L1 rst ha • 2015: First Advanced LIGO run First GW • 2017: First Advanced Virgo run Detections 33

### LIGO and Virgo data taking periods

- Pluriannual upgrade program of the LIGO and Virgo detectors
  - Ultimate goal: to increase the instrument sensitivity by one order of magnitude
     → Increase the volume of Universe probed by a factor 1,000
- Observation Run 1 (« O1 »): September 2015  $\rightarrow$  January 2016
  - LIGO detectors only
  - $\rightarrow$  First two detections of gravitational-wave (GW) signals
    - GW150914 (detected on 2015/09/14) and GW151226
    - In both cases the coalescence of two stellar-mass black holes
- Observation Run 2 (« O2 »): November 30, 2016 August 25, 2017
  - Maintenance and upgrade in between O1 and O2 for the LIGO detectors
  - First the two LIGO detectors, then LIGO and Virgo from August 1<sup>st</sup>
  - More binary black hole mergers: GW170104, GW170608, GW170814
  - First binary neutron star merger: GW170817
- Then, one year of upgrade before starting the Observation Run 3 (« O3 »)
  - In Fall 2018, for about one year

### September 14 2015, 11:51 CET

- Signal detected in both LIGO detectors, with a 7 ms delay
  - **Short** (< 1 s)
  - Very strong/significant
  - Signal expected from a binary black hole coalescence

Event labelled GW150914





#### February 11 2016, 16:30 CET



- Simultaneous press conferences in Washington DC, Cascina (Virgo site, Italy), Paris, Amsterdam, etc.
- Detection paper, accepted on PRL, made available online
  - Published by the LIGO and Virgo collaborations
  - http://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102
- Several « companion » papers online at the same time or shortly thereafter
  - See full list at <u>https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/page/detection-companion-papers</u> 37

#### In between these two dates...

- Make sure that the signal was not a simulated waveform
  - For instance a « blind » injection or someone hacking LIGO!
- Check the detector status at/around the time of the event
- « Freeze » the detector configuration
  - To accumulate enough data to assess the signal significance
- Rule out the possibility of environmental disturbances producing that signal
- Run offline analysis to confirm/improve the online results
- Extract all possible science from this first/ unique (so far) event
- Write detection paper and the associated « companion » papers
  - Detection paper had to be accepted prior to making the result public
- Keep GW150914 secret, hope for the best
  - Any of the items above could have been a showstopper

### Rapid response to GW150914

- 2015/09/14 11:51 CET: event recorded first in Livingston, 7 ms later in Hanford
- 3 minutes later : event flagged, entry added to database, contacts notified
  - Online triggers important in particular for searches of counterparts
- 1 hour later: e-mails started flowing within the LIGO-Virgo collaboration

```
From Marco Drago

Subject [CBC] Very interesting event on ER8

Hi all,

cWB has put on gracedb a very interesting event in the last hour.

<u>https://gracedb.ligo.org/events/view/G184098</u>
```

- 20 minutes later: no signal injected at that time
  - Confirmed officially at 17:59 that day blind injections useful to test pipelines
- 10 minutes later: binary black hole candidate
- 25 minutes later: data quality looks OK in both IFOs at the time of the event
- 15 minutes later: preliminary estimates of the signal parameters
  - False alarm rate < 1 / 300 years: a significant event!</p>
- Two days later (09/16, 14:39 CET): alert circular sent to follow-up partners

#### Compact binary coalescence search

- Well-predicted waveform
  - → Matched-filtering technique (optimal)
    - Noise-weighted cross-correlation of data with a template (expected signal)
- Parameter space covered by a template bank
  - Analytical for NS-NS, BH-NS
  - Analytical + numerical for BH-BH
  - Parameters: mass and spin of the initial black holes
     → ~250,000 templates in total
- Look for triggers from the two IFOs using the same template and coincident in time
  - Check matching between signal and template
- Offline search
  - Part of the parameter space searched online
  - Two independent offline pipelines





#### Compact binary coalescence search

- Well-predicted waveform
  - → Matched-filtering technique (optimal)
    - Noise-weighted cross-correlation of data with a template (expected signal)
- Parameter space covered by a template bank
  - Analytical for NS-NS, BH-NS
  - Analytical + numerical for BH-BH
  - Parameters: mass and spin of the initial black holes
     → ~250,000 templates in total
- Look for triggers from the two IFOs using the same template and coincident in time
  - Check matching between signal and template
- Offline search
  - Part of the parameter space searched online
  - Two independent offline pipelines



 $10^{1}$ 

Mass 1  $[M_{\odot}]$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

### Data quality

- Detector configuration frozen to integrate enough data for background studies
  - ~40 days (until end of October) corresponding to 16 days of coincidence data
  - $\rightarrow$  Steady performances over that period
- Tens of thousands of probes monitor the interferometer status and the environment
  - Virgo: h(t) ~ 100 kB/s
     DAQ ~ 30 MB/s
- Help identifying couplings with GW channel
  - Quantify how big a disturbance should be to produce such a large signal
  - Not to mention the distinctive shape of the GW150914 signal
- Extensive studies performed
  - Uncorrelated and correlated noises
  - Bad data quality periods identified and vetoed
  - $\rightarrow$  Clear conclusions: nominal running, no significant environmental disturbance 42



#### Background estimation

- Studies show that GW150914 is not due to issues with the interferometer running, nor the reflection of environmental disturbances (correlated or not)
   → How likely is it to be due to « expected » noise fluctuations?
  - Assess signal significance!
- Input: 16 days of coincidence data
   → Time shift method to generate a
   much larger background dataset
- Reminder: real GW events are shifted by 10 ms at most between IFOs
  - Light travel time over 3,000 km
- By shifting one IFO datastream by a (much) larger time, one gets new datastreams in which « time » coincidence are necessarily due to noise





**43** 

#### Signal significance – CBC analysis

- x-axis: detection statistic used to rank events (the « SNR »)
  - GW150914: strongest event (true in both IFOs)
- Observed (zero-lag) events
- Solid lines:
   2 background estimations (from time-lag)



• SNR ~ 23.6; false alarm rate < 1 event / 203,000 years false alarm probability  $< 2 \times 10^{-7}$  (> 5.1  $\sigma$ )

### Why two black holes?

- Result of matched filtering!
  - Excellent match between the best template and the measured signal
- Two massive compact objects orbiting around each other at 75 Hz (half the GW frequency), hence at relativistic speed, and getting very close before the merging: only a few R<sub>s</sub> away!
- → Black holes are the only known objects which can fit this picture
- About 3  $M_{Sun}$  radiated in GW
- The « brighest » event ever seen
  - More powerful than any gamma-ray burst detected so far
  - Peak power larger than 10 times the power emitted by the visible Universe



- Observed on 'Boxing Day' 2015
  - Online trigger from the matched filtering analysis
  - Not detected by the burst online search
  - Detailed studies delayed by the completion of the GW150914 analyses
- Not all GW signals visible to the naked eye!
- Another binary black hole coalescence
- Lighter black holes
  - 14 and 8  $M_{\odot}$
- Smaller amplitude
- More cycles in the detector bandwidth
- $\rightarrow$  Matched filtering mandatory



- Second « Observation Run » (O2)
  - Started on November 30th 2016
    - → After a ~10 month-long break for maintenance and upgrade
  - End date scheduled for the end of August
    - → Then there will be a 12-18 month-long stop before the start of O3 for LIGO and Virgo
- A third binary black hole coalescence
  - Primary black holes: about 31 and

19 solar masses

- Final black hole: about 49 solar masses
- Source located about 3 billion light-years away
   → Twice as far as the first two events
- First detection during O2
  - January 04th 2017 at 11:11:59 CET 10:11:59 UTC



#### Parameter estimation

- 15 parameters total
  - Initial masses, initial spins, final mass, final spin, distance, inclination angle + precession angle (if exists)
- Bayesian inference
  - Probability density function for each parameter: mean value + statistical errors



#### Parameter estimation

• Impact of the black hole parameters on the waveform



#### Parameter fitting

• Animation based on GW170104 data



#### GW170814 detected signals

• Detailled studies confirm evidence of a signal in the Virgo detector



#### LIGO-Virgo sky localization

- Triangulation
  - Delays in the signal arrival time between detectors
  - Difference in shape and amplitude for the detected signals



#### LIGO-Virgo sky localization

• Global 3-detector network: much-improved sky localization



### Thursday August 17, 2017 – 14:41 CEST

- Signals recorded within 1.7 second
  - LIGO (gravitational waves) first
  - Then the GBM instrument (gamma ray burst) on board the Fermi satellite



#### Gravitational waves from GW170817

• Lower sensitivity + antenna pattern!





#### Sky localizations & source position

- Green: LIGO and LIGO + Virgo
- Blue : information from gamma ray burst satellites



#### Detections

- Five binary black hole coalescences
  - GW150914, GW151226, GW170104, GW170814, GW170608
- One neutron star coalescence: GW170817



#### Detections

- Five binary black hole coalescences
  - GW150914, GW151226, GW170104, GW170814, GW170608
- One neutron star coalescence: GW170817

