EGO/Virgo Visit

10 October 2023

Nicolas Arnaud (nicolas.arnaud@ijclab.in2p3.fr)

Laboratoire de Physique des Deux Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie (Université Paris-Saclay & CNRS/IN2P3) European Gravitational Observatory (CNRS, INFN & NIKHEF Consortium)



IIII EGO GRAVITATIONAL OBSERVATORY







Thanks to the many colleagues from the LAL (now IJCLab) Virgo group, Virgo and LIGO, from wich I borrowed ideas and material for this talk



Virgo @ EGO

ttola

- European Gravitational Observatory (EGO): the lab hosting the Virgo detector
- Recent snaphshot: ~800 members / ~530 authors
- ~140 participating institutions from 15 countries
 - Gathered in ~35 groups from 9 countries





Virgo from the sky



If Virgo were located in University of Maryland, College Park



Gravitational waves

- One of the first predictions (1916) of general relativity (1915)
 - Accelerated masses induce perturbations of the spacetime that propagate at the speed of light



- No gravitational wave (GW) emission if the source is axisymmetrical
 - A « good » source must have an asymmetrical mass distribution
- GW amplitude h
 - Dimensionless
 - Scales down like 1/(distance to source)
- Detectors are directly sensitive to h
- → Factor 2 (10) gain in sensitivity
 ⇔ Gain of a factor 2 (10) in distance
 ⇔ Observable Universe volume scales by a factor 8 (1000)



Effect of gravitational waves on test masses

• In 3D



GW sources

- Classification
 - Transient
 - Modeled /

Continuous Unmodeled

→ Drives the choice of the data analysis methods





high Continuous waves Signal model accuracy and completeness **CBCs BNS** www. BBH sources sources Matched filtering effective **Fransient** Persistent Coherence methods effective IMBH eBBH IRANI MANTATANA MANTANA MINI MANTANA MINI MANANA MINI MANANA MINI KATABA "Bursts" CCSN Stochastic background ð ms sec min millennia days hours vears Waveform duration in-band in Advanced LIGO

Gravitational wave spectrum



LIGO, Virgo, etc.

9

An interferometer in a nutshell



Sensitivity, ∞ 1 / (arm length) / $\sqrt{(laser power)}$

As small as possible

The Advanced Virgo detector scheme



Noise & sensitivity

- Noise: any kind of disturbance which pollutes the dark fringe output signal
- Detecting a GW of frequency $f \leftrightarrow$ amplitude $h \ll$ larger \gg than noise at that frequency
- Interferometers are wide-band detectors
 - GW can span a wide frequency range
 - Frequency evolution with time is a key feature of some GW signals
 - \rightarrow Compact binary coalescences for instance
- Numerous sources of noise
 - Fundamental
 - \rightarrow Cannot be avoided; optimize design to minimize these contributions
 - Instrumental
 - \rightarrow For each noise, identify the source; then fix or mitigate
 - \rightarrow Then move to the next dominant noise; iterate...
 - Environmental
 - \rightarrow Isolate the instrument as much as possible; monitor external noises
- IFO sensitivity characterized by its amplitude spectrum density (ASD, unit: $1/\sqrt{Hz}$)
 - Noise RMS in the frequency band $[f_{\min}; f_{\max}] = \sqrt{\int_{f_{\min}}^{f_{\max}} ASD^2(f) df}$

Main interferometer noises



Interferometer control

- A complex working point
 - Resonant Fabry-Perot and recycling cavities + IFO on the dark fringe
 - Arm length difference controled with an accuracy better than 10⁻¹⁵ m
 - The better the optical configuration, the narrower the working point
- « Locking » the IFO is a non-trivial engineering problem
 - Use several error signals to apply corrections on mirror positions and angles
 - → Pound-Drever-Hall signals (phase modulation)
 - \rightarrow Auxiliary green lasers (for 2nd generation IFOs)
 - Feedback loops from few Hz to few kHz
 - Cope with filter bandwith and actuator range
- Multi-step lock acquisition procedure Free mirrors Local control



A network of interferometric detectors





A network of interferometric detectors

- A single interferometer is not enough to detect GW
 - Difficult to separate a signal from noise confidently
 - There have been unconfirmed claims of GW detection
- → Need to use a network of interferometers
- Agreements (MOUs) between the different projects Virgo/LIGO: 2007
 - Share data, common analysis, publish together
- IFO: non-directional detectors; non-uniform response in the sky
- Threefold detection: reconstruct source location in the sky





A network of partners

- Search for counterparts of the gravitational wave signal
 - Electromagnetism
 - Neutrinos

Tens of partner telescopes

Particles



LVK dataflow

- From: A guide to LIGO-Virgo detector noise and extraction of transient gravitational-wave signals
 - B. P. Abbott et al., 2020 Class. Quantum Grav. 37 055002
- Detector Characterization SEARCHES & Data Quality Template Make Triggers Matching (with False Alarm Rates. Signal to Noise Ratio) • Event validation Whitening **Identified Signals** • Auxiliary & environmental sensors PARAMETER Different latencies ESTIMATION Online Whitening Interferometers Detector Offline h(t) Event Chararacterization Calibration Validation On-demand Data Quality Bavesian Analysis • Many monitoring levels Auxiliary Detector & Environmental Sensors Network CATALOG Instrument Performance Analyses 18

1916-2022: a century of progress

• 1916: GW prediction (Einstein)

1957: Chapel Hill Conference

• 1963: rotating BH solution (Kerr)

heory

Experiment

- 1990's: CBC PN expansion (Blanchet, Damour, Deruelle, Iyer, Will, Wiseman, etc.)
- 2000: BBH effective one-body approach (Buonanno, Damour)
- 2006: BBH merger simulation (Baker, Lousto, Pretorius, etc.)

(Bondi, Feynman, Pirani, etc.)

- 1960's: first Weber bars
- 1970: first IFO prototype (Forward)
- 1972: IFO design studies (Weiss)
- 1974: PSRB 1913+16 (Hulse & Taylor)
- 1980's: IFO prototypes (10m-long) (Caltech, Garching, Glasgow, Orsay)
 → End of 1980's: Virgo (Brillet, Giazotto) and LIGO proposals
- 1990's: LIGO and Virgo funded
- 2005-2011: initial IFO « science » » runs
- 2007: LIGO-Virgo MoU
- First half of the 2010's: Upgrades
- 2015: First Advanced LIGO run **First GW**
- 2017: First Advanced Virgo run **Detections**

September 14, 2015, 11:51 CEST

- Signal observed in the two LIGO detectors with a 7 ms delay
 - Extremely short (< 1 s)</p>
 - Very strong
 - With respect to the instrumental noise
 - Very weak in absolute terms
- Expected signature for the merging of 2 stellar black holes



• Gravitational wave

 $\mathbf{20}$

- 2015
 - September









LIGO Livingston Louisiana, USA

GW150914: spectrograms

• Time-frequency maps

Frequency (Hz)

Time (s)

- Search for an excess of energy with respect to the noise
 - Using wavelets
- The excess must be coherent (and coincident in time) in between the two detectors
- Real time analysis during O1!
- GW150914 is strong enough to be visible « by eyes »





Why two black holes?

- Result of matched filtering!
 - Excellent match between the best template and the measured signal
- Two massive compact objects orbiting around each other at 75 Hz (half the GW frequency), hence at relativistic speed, and getting very close before the merging: only a few R_s away!
- → Black holes are the only known objects which can fit this picture
- About 3 M_{Sun} radiated in GW
- The « brighest » event ever seen
 - More powerful than any gamma-ray burst detected so far
 - Peak power larger than 10 times the power emitted by the visible Universe



22

GW170814: first 3-detector signal

• Detailled studies confirm evidence of a signal in the Virgo detector



GW170814: LIGO-Virgo sky localization

- Triangulation
 - Delays in the signal arrival time between detectors
 - Difference in shape and amplitude for the detected signals



A long time ago in a galaxy far, far away....



Thursday August 17, 2017 – 14:41 CEST

- Signals recorded within 1.7 second
 - LIGO (gravitational waves) first
 - Then the GBM instrument (gamma ray burst) on board the Fermi satellite



26

The following night...

- 2017/08/18 01:33 CEST
- → Discovery of the optical counterpart by the SWOPE telescope in Chile







Sky localizations & source position

- Combined Signal / Noise Ratio of 32.4
- Source close to one of the Virgo blind spots
- → Accurate sky localization sent at 19:55 CEST (+ 05:14 after GW was recorded)



Multi-messenger Astronomy

• Gravitational waves, gamma-ray burst, the whole electromagnetic spectrum





Worldwide astronomy

- Three gravitational-wave detectors
- Tens of partner observatories



The discovery and analysis of GW170817 and its associated electromagnetic events involved researchers working in 45 countries and territories.





The LIGO-Virgo O3 run

- 01 April 2019 \rightarrow 27 March 2020
 - I month commissioning break: October 2019
 - \rightarrow Ended 1 month earlier than anticipated due to the covid-19 pandemic
- Ox: Observing Run x
 - O1: LIGO detectors
 - O2: Mostly LIGO, Virgo in August'17
 - O3: LIGO-Virgo



The LIGO-Virgo O3 run

- O2-O3 improvements in the Virgo sensitivity
 - **BNS range:** average detection distance assuming an SNR threshold of 8



Sensitivity curve and range

- Select a particular type of GW sources: binary neutron star (BNS) mergers
- Average source location over the whole sky
- Average the binary system inclination as well
- Convention: detection \leftrightarrow SNR = 8
 - Signal-to-Noise Ratio
- Reminder: $h(t) \propto 1 / distance$
- \rightarrow Sensitivity curve \leftrightarrow BNS range
 - Typical unit: Megaparsec
 [Mpc]



The LIGO-Virgo O3 run

• Virgo duty cycle over O3



The LIGO-Virgo O3 run

• Global 3-detector network duty cycle during O3

LIGO-Virgo Network duty cycle during O3: 2019/04/01 -> 2020/03/27 Detectors: LIGO Hanford (H1) in WA, USA; LIGO Livingston (L1) in LA, USA; Virgo (V1) in Cascina, Italy



A harvest of detections

• 90 signals in the latest edition of the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA catalog: GWTC-3

GRAVITATIONAL WAVE MERGER DETECTIONS



KEY



UNITS ARE SOLAR MASSES 1 SOLAR MASS = 1.989 x 10³⁰kg Note that the mass estimates shown here do not include uncertainties, which is why the final mass is sometimes larger than the sum of the primary and secondary masses. In actuality, the final mass is smaller than the primary plus the secondary mass.

The events listed here pass one of two thresholds for detection. They either have a probability of being astrophysical of at least 50%, or they pass a false alarm rate threshold of less than 1 per 3 years.





LVK transient GW detections

- All compact binary mergers
 - The three expected types have been detected
 - BBH:

Binary black hole

- BNS: Binary neutron star
- NSBH:

Neutron star – black hole

- Classified by the masses of the compact objects which have merged
 - x-axis: primary mass
 - \rightarrow Heavier object
 - y-axis: secondary mass
 - \rightarrow Lighter object



An increasing detection rate

- Cumulative number of detections
 → Sharp increase of the rate
 comparing O1-O2 with O3
- A direct consequence of the detector improved sensitivities over time



→ Quantity "equivalent" to a collider integrated luminosity:
 (Volume of the Universe probed) × (Time of observation)

The cumulative number of detections scales linearly versus it



Of data taking periods and upgrades

- LVK is a meta-collaboration aiming at optimizing the global yield of the network
 - Joint strategy
 - \rightarrow Data taking periods:
 - Observing Runs (On)

Past: n=1,2,3 Current: n=4 Future: n=5,etc.

 \rightarrow Upgrades

Updated — O1 — (2023-09-07			— O3	— O4	— O5				
LIGO	80 Мрс	100 Мрс	100-140 Мрс	160-190 Мрс	240-325 Mpc				
Virgo		30 Мрс	40-50 Мрс	60-100 Mpc	150-260 Мрс				
KAGRA			0.7 Мрс	1-3 ≃10 ≳10 Mpc Mpc Mpc	25-128 Мрс				
<u>.</u> 32002127-v20	1 2015 2016	2017 2018	2019 2020 2021	2022 2023 2024 2025 2026	2027 2028 2029				

Of data taking periods and upgrades

• Alternating data taking and upgrade periods should lead to more events in the end

- O1/O2/O3 - O3 Fit ·· O4 (160 Mpc) -· O5 (300 Mpc)



→ Extrapolation to O4 and O5 assuming BNS range of second most sensitive detector and duty cycle similar to O3

From O3 to O4

- Ambitious program of upgrades for all detectors in the network
 - Slowed down (at best) by covid-19
 - \rightarrow According to pre-pandemic plans, O4 should have ended in early 2023! \otimes
- Manifold goals
 - Increase binary merger detection rate from ~1/5 days to ~1/2 days
 - Improve public alerts
 - Latency
 - Localization
 - Classification
 - Improve SNR of detected GWs
 - Can only help searches and signal analyses
 - \rightarrow Possibly discover new sources!?
- LVK public plans regularly updated at https://observing.docs.ligo.org/plan
- Public wiki and mailing list: bookmark and subscribe to if interested
 - https://wiki.gw-astronomy.org/OpenLVEM

Upgrading Virgo: Advanced Virgo+

- Project proposed in 2017
- Two phases
 - Phase I: O3/O4 (2023-2024)
 - Main target: quantum noise
 - Reduction of technical noises
 - \rightarrow BNS range goal: O(100 Mpc)
 - Phase II: O4/O5 (2026-2027)
 - Main target: thermal noise
 - \rightarrow More invasive upgrade: mirrors to be changed
 - \rightarrow BNS range goal: O(200 Mpc)
- But...
 - Problems to properly control the upgraded Virgo detector
 - Excess of noise of unknown origin which strongly limits the sensitivity
 - \rightarrow Virgo has not joined the O4 run yet
- Work will continue to improve the sensitivity until next March at the latest
 - Then, Virgo will join the second part of O4 regardless of its performance at the time 42



Public alerts in O4

- Two types of public alerts based on false alarm rate (FAR)
 - Significant alerts
 - Compact binary mergers: FAR < 1/month Bursts: FAR < 1/year
 - Passing automated and human-vetted data quality checks
 - Low significance alerts
 - FAR up to 2/day)
 - Only automated data quality checks
- New early warning alert stream
 - Goal: send alert *before* merger time
 - \rightarrow "Negative" latency: up to tens of seconds
- Public alert sequence
 - Preliminary alerts
 - First fully automated with a latency < 30 s (typically ~20s)
 - Updates as needed, final one < 5 minutes after online search completed
 - Significant triggers: rapid response team involved
 - Initial circular or retraction
 - Updates as needed in particular improved parameter estimation



Vetting alerts in low latency

- Goals: confirm/retract public alerts in O(few minutes)
 - Dedicated database with a public-facing interface: GraceDB https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/public/O3
 - Public information: GPS time, type of event, skymap
 - Use of the Gamma-ray Coordination Network (GCN)
- Key tool: the Data Quality Reports \rightarrow Set of automated checks triggered upon receiving an alert from GraceDB • Example: the Virgo O3 DQR
- Rapid Response Team meeting at short notice immediately after each significant alert
 - On-duty experts from all relevant areas
 - Including DetChar
 - \rightarrow Deciding the fate of the alert
 - O3: 80 alerts, of which 24 retracted

supporting



HTCondor farm

Schedule

Launches all checks

Check #1

Check #2

Check #N



BBH

100%



Web

server

Check result & summary info (json payload)

Check result & summary info (json payload)

Check result & summary info (json payload)

supporting files: html, text, images,

supporting files: html, text, images

text

files: html.



The O4 run

- Started on May 24, 2023
 - 20 months in total, with up to two months of commissioning break
 → Should end in January 2025
- LIGO detectors close to (if not at) target sensitivity



- Public alerts
 - https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/public/O4

	Event ID	Possible Source (Probability)	Significant	UTC	GCN	Location	FAR	
-√ I⊧ GraceDB Public Alerts - Latest Search Documentation Login		BBH (>99%)	Yes	Oct. 8, 2023 14:25:21 UTC	GCN Circular Query Notices VOE		1 per 20.718 years	
Please log in to view full database contents. LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA Public Alerts • More details about public alerts are provided in the LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA Alert • Retractions are marked in red. Retraction means that the candidate was mo • Less-significant events are marked in grey, and are not manually vetted. Cou • Less-significant events are not shown by default. Press "Show All Public Ev		BBH (>99%)	Yes	Oct. 5, 2023 09:15:49 UTC	GCN Circular Query Notices VOE		1 per 15.493 years	
		BBH (98%), Terrestrial (2%)	Yes	Oct. 5, 2023 02:10:30 UTC	GCN Circular Query Notices VOE		1.0148 per year	
04 Significant Detection Candidates: 52 (61 Total - 9 Retracted)								

O4 Low Significance Detection Candidates: 1015 (Total)

Beyond O4: O5 and more

- Clear (approved and funded) plans until O5 for Virgo and LIGO: ~2028
 - Example: Advanced Virgo plus, phase II
- Ongoing developments for third-generation ("3G") detectors
 - Not ready before 2035 earliest / (very) optimistic schedule
- \rightarrow About a decade to bridge
 - Push existing infrastructures to their limits Virgo_nEXT project
 - Possible overlap between advanced detectors and 3rd generation
 - Pave the way to future detectors
 - Common R&D and developments
 - \rightarrow Use existing instruments as testbeds
 - Keep vivid technical knowledge and skills
 - Train new generation of scientists
- \rightarrow Current Virgo problems may lead to a strong change of plans
 - Making the recycling cavities more stable would require infrastructure work
 - \rightarrow Decision in the coming months

On the even longer term: Einstein Telescope







On the even longer term: Cosmic Explorer

- Two 20÷40 km-long detectors above ground, located in the US
 - Using mature technology from current interferometers
- Reference: A Horizon Study for Cosmic Explorer
- https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.09882



Science		No CE	CE with 2G					CE with ET					CE, ET, CE South				
Theme	Goals	2G	20	40	20+20	20+40	40+40	20	40	20+20	20+40	40+40	20	40	20+20	20+40	40+40
Black holes and	Black holes from the first stars																
eutron stars hroughout cosmic	Seed black holes																
ime	Formation and evolution of compact objects																
Dynamics of dense natter	Neutron star structure and composition																
	New phases in quantum chromodynamics																
	Chemical evolution of the universe																
	Gamma-ray burst jet engine																
Extreme gravity and fundamental physics																	
Discovery potential																	
Technical risk																	