Department of Physics "G. Occhialini" Ph.D. in Physics and Astronomy ET Symposium



### Multi-messenger prospects for black hole - neutron star mergers in the Einstein Telescope era

### Alberto Colombo

Om Sharan Salafia, Francesco Iacovelli, Michele Mancarella, Giancarlo Ghirlanda, Bruno Giacomazzo, Monica Colpi, Raphael Duque, Igor Andreoni, Floor Broekgaarden, Tassos Fragos, Fabio Ragosta, Matteo Barsuglia, Silvia Piranomonte, Andrea Melandri, Adrew Levan, Anand Shreya

May 10th, 2023

## BHNS Candidates

LIGO-Virgo, Northwestern, Frank Elavsky, Aaron Geller









Low massive and/or rapidly spinning BH







Low massive and/or rapidly spinning BH



















Dynamical Ejecta









Dynamical Ejecta





### Prompt and GRB Afterglow Emission



### Prompt and GRB Afterglow Emission



### Prompt and GRB Afterglow Emission



What are the prospects for future multi-messenger observations of black hole - neutron star mergers?

# The Model



# The Model







![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

Will we have a multi-messenger observation of a black hole - neutron star merger during O4?

Will we have a multi-messenger observation of a black hole - neutron star merger during O4?

(most likely) No.

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

See also:

### GW+KN

Frostig et al. (2022) Zhu et al. (2021) Mochkovitch et al. (2021) Patricelli et al. (2022) Duque et al. (2019) Saleem et al. (2018)

**GW+GRB** 

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

See also:

### GW+KN

Frostig et al. (2022)Patricelli et al. (2022)Zhu et al. (2021)Duque et al. (2019)Mochkovitch et al. (2021)Saleem et al. (2018)

**GW+GRB** 

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

See also:

### GW+KN

### N

Frostig et al. (2022) Zhu et al. (2021) Mochkovitch et al. (2021) Patricelli et al. (2022) Duque et al. (2019) Saleem et al. (2018)

**GW+GRB** 

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

### A (preliminary) look on the Einstein Telescope era

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

### BHNS ET Kilonovae

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

### BHNS ET Kilonovae

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

### BHNS ET Jet Emissions

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

### BHNS ET Jet Emissions

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Summary

- BHNSs with low massive and non-sipping BHs are the most favor candidates to have an EM emission
- BHNS GW+EM detection rates in **O4/O5** are low (<1 y<sup>-1</sup>)
- **ET** will allow us to detect EM counterparts from BHNSs (>1 y<sup>-1</sup>)
- Strategies to select GW events are fundamental to increase the detections of EM counterparts from BHNSs
- Future high-energy instruments are fundamental to increase detections of jet related emissions

See also: Boersma et al. (2022) Zhu et al. (2022)

### Thank you for your attention!