







# Perspectives for kilonovae multimessenger detection from BNS mergers with next-generation GW detectors

Eleonora Loffredo

On behalf of N. Hazra, U. Dupletsa, M. Branchesi, A. Perego, S. Ronchini, F. Santoliquido,













INAF
ISTTUTO NAZIONALE
DI ASTROFISICA

# The kilonova

- Ejection of neutron rich matter
- Heavy elements nucleosynthesis via rapid neutron capture
- Thermal EM emission powered by nuclear decay of freshly synthesized heavy elements (*Li & Paczynski 98, Metzger+10*)
- UV/optical/IR signal, faint & rapidly evolving (one week)
- Sky-localisation from GW signals key parameter for the follow-up with optical telescopes (*Branchesi+23*)



Credits: Ascenzi et al. 2021









# Prospects for GW/KN joint detections from BNS mergers

- LVK  $\rightarrow$  expected to detect a few BNS mergers in O4 (Abbott+20, Colombo+22)
- ET and CE will detect ~10<sup>5</sup> BNS mergers per year up to redshift ~5 10 (Ronchini+22, Branchesi+23)
- ET  $\rightarrow$  hundreds BNS with sky-loc  $\Delta\Omega < 100 \text{ deg}^2$  and ET+CE $\rightarrow$  thousands BNS with sky-loc  $\Delta\Omega < 10 \text{ deg}^2$
- Assessing perspectives for GW/KN joint detections with ET (alone or in a network) and the Vera Rubin Observatory
- Evaluating the impact of ET configuration on KN science
- Quantifying uncertainties due to BNS merger rate, NS mass distribution and Equation of State









## Method – BNS merger populations

- Populations from population synthesis code with local merger rate [23, 107]Gpc<sup>-3</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> (lorio+23)
- NS mass distribution: Gaussian and uniform
- NS EOS: APR4 (larger compactness) and BLh (smaller compactness)
- Total: 8 BNS merger populations
- For each population: 10 years of mergers randomly distributed in sky up to redshift 1



EL, N. Hazra, U. Dupletsa et al. in prep.









## Method – GW simulations

- For each merger, inject GW signal approximant (IMRPhenomD\_NRTidalv2)
- 2 ET configurations: Delta (10 km cryo) and 2L (15 km cryo)
- GW networks  $\rightarrow$  ET, ET+LVKI, ET+1CE (40 km), ET+2CE (USA, Australia)
- Number of detected mergers and source parameters estimate with Fisher matrix approach GWFish code (*Dupletsa+23*)
- 64 simulations for 10 years of mergers

EL, N. Hazra, U. Dupletsa et al. in prep.









#### Method – KN modelling

- BNS masses and EOS  $\rightarrow$  KN ejecta properties via numerical-relativity (NR) informed fits (e.g. Radice+18, Krüger & Foucart 20)
- State-of-the-art fitting formulas disagree outside of calibration region, limited to GW170817 (Henkel+23)
- Develop new fits calibrated on GW190425 targeted NR simulations (Camilletti+22)
- For each merger, compute prompt collapse mass threshold (Perego+22)



- Below prompt-collapse: state-of-the-art fitting formulas calibrated on GW170817
- Above prompt-collapse: our new fitting formulas calibrated on GW190425









#### Method – GRB optical afterglow



- GRB optical afterglow distribution compared to KN lightcurves
- Luminosity distance: 100 Mpc









# Observational Strategy with Vera Rubin Obs.

- Consider events in a sky-region accessible to Rubin and localized better than a certain threshold  $\Delta \Omega$
- Correct KN light curves for galactic extinction
- KN follow-up in *g* (lim mag 26.53) and *i* (lim mag 25.59) bands by scanning the error region divided into a mosaic (600s for each pointing)
- Two epochs of observations over two/three nights (11-hour nightly window)
- Compute time required for observations (including filter swapping and slewing time)









#### Results - GW detections

- ET alone  $\rightarrow$  up to 25k detections per year (z < 1). Increase by 70-90% for ET+1-2 CEs
- Uncertainties: population normalization (factor 5), NS mass distribution (20-25%), NS EOS (max 5%)
- ET2L  $\rightarrow$  30% more detections than ETT
- ET+LVKI  $\rightarrow$  up to 10k events per year within 100deg<sup>2</sup>
- ET+1CE (2CE) → up to 2k (17k) events per year within 10deg<sup>2</sup> up to redshift 0.9 – 1
- ET2L  $\rightarrow$  2.4 more events than ETT localised within 100deg<sup>2</sup>
- EL, N. Hazra, U. Dupletsa, et al. in prep.









# Results – Joint GW/KN detections

- ET alone  $\rightarrow 10 100$  KN detections per year
- ET2L outperforms ETT when operating as a single observatory or with LVKI. Not significant difference when operating with CE
- Uncertainty dominated by merger rate (factor 5), then ET configuration, then NS mass distribution and EOS
- If Gaussian NS mass distr. → BLh yields 20-60% more detections than APR4
- If uniform NS mass distr.  $\rightarrow$  APR4 yields 10-20% more detections than BLh
- ET in a network  $\rightarrow$  several hundreds joint detections per year
- If GRB afterglow included  $\rightarrow$  5-30% (10-50%) increase in the number of detections for the fiducial (pessimistic) population



GW

detections







#### Gaussian mass distribution



GW/KN joint detections

EL, N. Hazra, U. Dupletsa, et al. in prep.









GW/KN

joint

#### Uniform mass distribution



GW detections

EL, N. Hazra, U. Dupletsa, et al. in prep.









### Conclusions

- ET as single observatory allows the joint detection of 10 100 KNe per year
- ET in a network of current and next-generation detectors will reach from a few to several hundred KNe detections per year
- For KN science, ET2L outperforms ETT if operating as single observatory or with LVKI
- Uncertainties on number of detections dominated by merger rate, followed by NS mass distribution and EOS
- Perspectives for KNe from Black Hole Neutron Star mergers? → Colombo's talk
- Implications for spectroscopy?  $\rightarrow$  Bisero's talk