Mirror coating birefringence noise

November 15th, 2024

G. Zavattini, University and INFN - Ferrara





1









Background work in sensitive polarimetry

Experimental study of the induced birefringence by an external magnetic field in vacuum



Light-by-light interaction and vacuum magnetic birefringence. Must be there: $\Delta n = 4X10^{-24} B^2$ with B in Tesla. Includes MCPs

Radiative correction 1.45%

Contributions from hypothetical neutral light particles coupling to two photons: ALPs

Birefringence and ellipticity

The index of refraction is a complex number: $\tilde{n}=n+i\kappa$

- In a birefringent medium $n_{\parallel} \neq n_{\perp}$
- A linearly polarized beam passing through a birefringent medium will acquire an ellipticity $\psi = \pm a/b$ (the sign determines the rotation direction of E_{γ})

$$\mathbf{E}_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Delta \phi = \frac{2\pi (n_{\parallel} - n_{\perp})L}{\lambda}$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}' = E_{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}\cos 2\vartheta \\ i\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}\sin 2\vartheta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Delta \phi \ll 1$$

Immaginary

$$\psi = \pm \frac{a}{b} \approx \frac{\Delta \phi}{2} \sin 2\vartheta = \frac{\pi (n_{\parallel} - n_{\perp})L}{\lambda} \sin 2\vartheta$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{y,\mathrm{out}} = E_{\gamma}^2 \psi^2$$

General scheme



F. Della Valle et al. Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:24 A. Ejlli et al. Physics Reports 871 (2020) 1–74

- Single pass ellipticity: $\psi = \frac{\pi \int \Delta n_{\rm B} dL}{\lambda} \sin 2\vartheta(t) = \psi_0 \sin 2\vartheta(t)$. Here $\vartheta(t)$ is the angle between the polarisation and the birefringence axis.
- The Fabry-Perot cavity amplifies ψ by a factor $N=2{\cal F}/\pi$ We had ${\cal F}pprox 7 imes 10^5$.
- The ellipticity modulator allows heterodyne detection which linearizes the ellipticity ψ to be measured and allows the distinction between a rotation and an ellipticity. The insertion of the $\lambda/4$ wave plate allows measuring rotations.
- The rotating magnetic field modulates the desired signal $\Psi(t) = N\psi(t)$ due to VMB.
- Without the cavity or with a low finesse cavity, shot-noise is reached with \approx 10 mW power: $S_{\Psi} \approx 5 \times 10^{-9} \, 1/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$

 $\Rightarrow I_{\text{out}} = I_0 \left\{ N\psi(t) + \eta(t) \right\}^2 \simeq I_0 \left\{ \eta^2(t) + 2\eta(t)N\psi(t) + 2\eta(t)\Gamma(t) + \dots \right\}$

Optical path difference limits in the sensitivity of a polarimeter



• Optical path difference $\Delta D = \int \Delta n \, dL$

- No experimental effort has reached shotnoise sensitivity (green) with a high finesse F.P.
- There seems to be a common problem afflicting all experiments
- Intrinsic noise from the mirrors limited the sensitivity and the SNR
- With a low finesse cavity one does reach shot-noise. The limit is not the method.



Results with the PVLAS polarimeter

- Optical path difference $\Delta D = \int \Delta n \, dL$ • No experimental effort has reached shot-
- No experimental effort has reached shotnoise sensitivity (green) with a high finesse F.P.
- There seems to be a common problem afflicting all experiments
- Intrinsic noise from the mirrors limited the sensitivity and the SNR
- With a low finesse cavity one does reach shot-noise. The limit is not the method.

Nontheless we reached

\Δn/B² = (1.9**±**2.7)·10⁻²³ T⁻² with 2.5 T

Physics Reports 871 (2020) 1–74

Ellipticity amplitude noise Vs Finesse

- The ellipticity noise depends on the finesse
- The ellipticity Cotton-Mouton peaks depend on the finesse, as expected
- With a birefringent cavity, rotations partially beat with the ellipticity modulator
- The Faraday peaks depend on the finesse and on the cavity birefringence





Optical path difference noise Vs Finesse

 $S_{\Delta \mathcal{D}} = S_{\Psi} \frac{\lambda}{2\mathcal{F}f(\nu)}$

- The optical path difference noise does not depend on the finesse → noise generated inside the cavity
- f(v) = cavity transfer function
- The optical path difference due to the Cotton-Mouton effect doesn't depend on the finesse, as expected
- The Faraday peaks depend on the finesse and on the cavity birefringence





PVLAS input end



PVLAS output end



Fitting the intrinsic $\Delta \mathcal{D}$ noise



- Interesting to measure new coatings. Finesse must be F ≥ 5e4 (R ≥ 99.995%): the amplified mirror noise must be greater than shot-noise.
- Will be testing crystaline GaAs/AlGaAs mirrors.
- Brownian? Why the cut-off? Beam radius?
- Thermo-elastic model points to tantala.

 $A_{\rm th} = (2.01 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{m}, \quad \nu_0 = (15.0 \pm 0.4) \,\mathrm{Hz}, \quad B_{\rm th} = (4.63 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{m/Hz}^{1/4}$

Model

- Thermoelastic effect
- Followed Braginsky's line of thought
- Ingredients:
 - diffuse heat transfer length ${\rm r}_{\rm T}$
 - intrinsic temperature fluctuations in a volume V: $\langle \delta T^2 \rangle = \frac{\kappa_B T^2}{\rho CV}$
 - linear thermal expansion coefficient α_{T}
 - stress optic coefficient C_{SO}
 - ellipticity accumulated in first few layers ${\rm d}_{\rm e}$
 - beam radius r₀

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 Find $S_{\Delta D} \propto \nu^{-1/4}$



- Estimated the thermoelastic birefringence noise in reflection*
- C_{SO} = stress optic coefficient
- Y = Young's modulus
- α_T = thermal expansion coefficient
- r₀ = beam radius on mirror
- C_T = specific heat capacity
- ρ = density
- λ_T = themal conductivity

Fused silica

$$S_{\Delta \mathcal{D}}^{(\mathrm{FS})} \sim 4 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{m}/\sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$$
 @ 1 Hz

Tantala

$$S_{\Delta D}^{(\text{Ta})} \sim (1 \div 5) \times 10^{-19} \text{ m}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \quad @ 1 \text{ Hz}$$

Compatible with $B_{\rm th} = (4.63\pm0.02)\times10^{-19}~{\rm m/Hz}^{1/4}$ from the fit

Scaled to ET-HF, 10 km



Temperature spectral density

$$S_T(\nu) = \sqrt{\frac{8k_{\rm B}T^2}{\pi r_0^2 \sqrt{\pi \rho C_T \lambda_T \nu}}} \propto \nu^{-1/4}$$

Optical path difference spectrum

$$S_{\Delta \mathcal{D}} = 2d_e \sqrt{2}C_{SO} Y \alpha_{\rm T} S_T(\nu)$$

- Estimated the thermoelastic birefringence noise in reflection*
- C_{SO} = stress optic coefficient
- Y = Young's modulus
- α_T = thermal expansion coefficient
- r₀ = beam radius on mirror
- C_T = specific heat capacity
- ρ = density
- λ_T = themal conductivity

Fused silica

$$S_{\Delta \mathcal{D}}^{(\mathrm{FS})} \sim 4 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{m}/\sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$$
 @ 1 Hz

Tantala

$$S_{\Delta D}^{(\text{Ta})} \sim (1 \div 5) \times 10^{-19} \text{ m}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \quad @ 1 \text{ Hz}$$

Compatible with $B_{\rm th}=(4.63\pm0.02)\times10^{-19}~{\rm m/Hz}^{1/4}$ from the fit

14

Conclusions

- Measured an intrinsic birefringence noise deriving from mirror coatings (AtFilms)
- We found two components: the first proportional to $\nu^{-1/2}$ with a frequency cut-off; the second proportional to $\nu^{-1/4}$.
- A thermo-elastic model points to the $\nu^{-1/4}$ component dominated by the tantala layer
- New measurements with crystalline mirrors will be performed

Thank you

Limits in the sensitivity of a polarimeter



- No experimental effort has reached shotnoise sensitivity (green) with a high finesse F.P.
- There seems to be a common problem afflicting all experiments
- This noise seems to be an intrinsic property of the cavity mirrors
- With a low finesse cavity one does reach shot-noise. The limit is not the method.

Mirror birefringence

Fabry Perot cavity mirrors have intrinsic static birefringence



The resulting cavity behaves like a **waveplate**. This results in: - cavity mode splitting

• <u>Cavity mirrors must be rotated to reduce total</u> <u>birefringence</u>





Cavity birefringence

- With He gas at ≈ 1mbar pressure we measured the ellipticity as a function of feedback lock point (δ)
- The imaginary part of E(t) will beat with the ellipticity of the modulator

$$E(t) = E_0 \left(\frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi}\right) i\psi \sin 2\theta \left(1 + i\left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm EQ}}{2} - \delta\right)\frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi}\right)^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm EQ}}{2} - \delta\right)}\right)$$



Example with P = 0.98 mbar He

Cavity birefringence

The laser is locked with its polarization along one of the cavity's axis.

- the perpendicular polarization acquires an extra phase due to the cavity birefringence

- there is also an induced rotation (real component) [Appl. Phys. B 83, 571-577 (2006)]

$$E(t) = E_0 \left(\frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi}\right) i\psi \sin 2\theta \left(1 - i\left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm EQ}}{2} - \delta\right)\frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi}\right)^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm EQ}}{2} - \delta\right)}\right)$$

With a QWP and the ellipticity modulator one can measure the induced rotation.



Cavity birefringence

• The ratio of the rotation to the ellipticity allows the determination of the cavity birefringence

$$\frac{\text{rotation}}{\text{ellipticity}} = \frac{2\mathcal{F}}{\pi} \left(\delta - \frac{\alpha_{\text{EQ}}}{2} \right)$$

Ratio rotation/ellipticity





Working offset value = 3.1

Rotation/ellipticity = 1