



# **Glasgow/DZA collaboration towards bonding bulk silicon for a composite test mass**

Gregoire Lacaille gregoire.lacaille@glasgow.uk

3rd ET ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS FOR ADVANCED DETECTORS WORKSHOP WARSAW 2024





# **Towards a composite mass**

# **GOAL**

Study the possibility of direct bonding several pieces of silicon to build the test mass as an alternative to large scale growth

# **CHALLENGES**

- Intrinsic modes
- Precise bonding
- Process compatibility
- Manufacturability of bonded parts

## **PROCESSES**

Hydroxide Catalysis Bonds and Direct Bonds

- **Strength**
- Mechanical loss
- Absorption
- Scattering







• Context

• Status of current knowledge on bonding process

• Some recent results in Glasgow

• Next steps





# **Direct Bonding – manufacturability and strength**

- Process comes from the semiconductor industry, mainly used with wafers (compliant samples)
- Often tested by indenting a blade to obtain bond energy – Available data not easily transferrable to bulky, structural assemblies.
- Reports that contacting properties are different when bonding bulky versus compliant samples



*Figure 1: Velocity of contacting wave depending on part stiffness . Picture from Ref [1]*



**Deutsches Zentrum** für Astrophysik

# **Recent results in Glasgow**

- Successful direct bonding of bulky samples with standard geometry for testing
- Successful annealing at 300C (above first strengthening threshold – « LT ») and 1000C (above second strengthening threshold « HT »)
- One LT sample successfully lapped and polished, but could not be repeated
- HT samples mostly survive lapping and polishing



*5*





# **Direct bonding - Manufacturability**







### Low Temperature Sample **High Temperature Sample**











# **4-point bending strength**

all data





*Figure1: Strength of direct bonded silicon depending on annealing temperature.*

*Figure2: Strength of silicate bonded (HCB) silicon over time. Picture from [3]* 

• Meaningful increase in strength through annealing, although still lower than HCB.

70

• 15MPa means 60mm<sup>2</sup> bonding area can hang 100kg. Area likely to be much larger so safety factor will increase.



**Deutsches Zentrum** für Astrophysik

# **Mechanical Loss**

- HCB (black) and Direct Bonded (curve 3) samples reach similar loss level at cryogenic temperature
- Bond loss not extracted
- Limited to 77K for now



#### Temperature (K)

*Figure1: Comparison of mechanical loss of bonded tuning fork. Direct bond in blue (curve 1,2,3 at different stages of treatment), HCB in black. Curve 4 is thermoelastic loss for both samples. Picture made from [4] and [5]. 8*



40 nm









*Figure1: SEM image of HCB silicon sample cross section. Picture from [6]*

*Figure1: TEM image of nanovoids in a direct bond after annealing at 400C (top) and 1000C (bottom). Picture from [7]*

- HCB much thicker and more likely to have different mechanical (relevant for smooth polishing) and optical properties
- Direct bond not a « perfect » interface either *<sup>9</sup>*





# **Towards a composite mass**







# **Next steps**

- Produce samples suitable for optical characterisation (absorption and scattering)
- Both reflected and transmitted beams
- Reach out to partners to scale up to larger samples, with expected new challenges regarding production of homogeneous bonds



*Figure1: Sketch of bonded sample geometry needed for optical characterisation, with all faces polished.* 







- We are looking at both Hydroxide Catalysis and Direct bonds to build a composite test mass
- Bond strength seems to be sufficient, both for processing and static load
- Need to adapt the processes to ensure feasibility and preservation of bulk properties
- Need to complete loss measurements and optical characterisation Liaising with industrial partners at DZA for procurement and preparation of suitable samples

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION





## **References**

- [1] Jan Haisma, Nico Hattu, J. T. C. M. (Dook) Pulles, Esther Steding, and Jan C. G. Vervest, "Direct bonding and beyond," Appl. Opt. **46**, 6793-6803 (2007)
- [2] Qin-Yi Tong, G. Cha, R. Gafiteanu and U. Gosele, "Low temperature wafer direct bonding," in *Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 29-35, March 1994, doi: 10.1109/84.285720.
- [3] Margot Phelps, Mariela Masso Reid, Rebecca Douglas, Anna-Maria van Veggel, Valentina Mangano, Karen Haughian, Arjen Jongschaap, Meghan Kelly, James Hŏugń, and Sheila Rowan. Phys. Rev. D 98, 122003 – Published 10 December 2018
- [4] L.G. Prokhorov, D.V. Koptsov, M.S. Matiushechkina, V.P. Mitrofanov, K. Haughian, J. Hough, S. Rowan, A.A. van Veggel, P.G. Murray, G.D. Hammond, K. Tokmakov, Upper limits on the mechanical loss of silicate bonds in a silicon tuning fork oscillator, Physics Letters A, Volume 382, Issue 33,2018,Pages 2186-2191,ISSN 0375- 9601,https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.07.007.
- [5] Prokhorov, L.G., Svetaev, A.V., Lunin, B.S. *et al.* Temperature Dependence of Losses in Mechanical Resonator Fabricated via the Direct Bonding of Silicon Strips. *Semiconductors* **54**, 117–121 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063782620010200>
- [6] N L Beveridge *et al,* Low-temperature strength tests and SEM imaging of hydroxide catalysis bonds in silicon, 2011 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **28** 085014 **DOI** 10.1088/0264-9381/28/8/085014
- [7] A. Reznicek, R. Scholz, S. Senz, U. Gösele, Comparative TEM study of bonded silicon/silicon interfaces fabricated by hydrophilic, hydrophobic and UHV wafer bonding, Materials Chemistry and Physics, Volume 81, Issues 2-3, 2003, Pages 277-280, ISSN 0254-0584, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-0584(02)00601-6.