

# ET-WST synergy for next generation gravitational wave multi-messenger observations

Sofia Bisero Supervisor: Susanna Vergani LUX, Observatoire de Paris

Image: Yuri Beletsky, LIGO/T. Pyle









Larger volume of the Universe explored







Larger volume of the Universe explored

Huge number of BNS detections

How to detect, identify and characterise their EM counterparts?







#### Large errors on sky localisation



Larger volume of the Universe explored

Huge number of BNS detections

How to detect, identify and characterise their EM counterparts?



Larger volume of the Universe explored

Huge number of BNS detections

#### Large errors on sky localisation





#### How to detect, identify and characterise their EM counterparts?

#### Faint optical-NIR counterparts for increasing redshift





Spectroscopy: the bottleneck of next generation GW-MM science

The spectrum of **AT2017gfo**: important for the study of the physics of the phenomenon, the environment, heavy elements nucleosynthesis and for the KN identification

Huge amount of transients in the GW error region

> gather the spectroscopic data required for their identification

The acquisition of multiple spectra at the same time can play a key role in identifying and characterising EM counterparts



### **EM counterpart**



# Integral Field and Multi-Object Spectroscopy

IFS



#### A spectrum for each pixel of the 2D field image



Fibres positioned on the localisation of the sources of interest

MOS





# IFS and MOS with the Wide-field Spectroscopic Telescope



PI: Roland Bacon (CRAL)

- Simultaneous IFU and MOS





# ET-WST synergy

# **Development of the observing strategy**

within the WST Time Domain Working Group and the Division 4 (Multimessenger Observations) of the ET OSB

#### **Stand-alone scenario**

Galaxy targeted search with IFS and MOS within the GW signal error region

Synergy with optical-NIR photometric observations IFS and MOS used to target the counterpart candidates found by optical-NIR surveys (Vera Rubin)



What are the properties of ET BNS EM counterparts that are detectable with WST?



Credits: WST White Paper

How many galaxies will be found in the "comoving error volume" of ET BNS?











#### Analyse how the results depend on the intrinsic properties of NS



**Detectability** and **characterisations** of ET BNS counterparts with WST

#### Analyse how the results depend on the observable properties of the BNS population





#### Analyse how the results depend on the intrinsic properties of NS

**NSs equation of state: APR4 and BLh** 

NSs mass distribution: gaussian and uniform



**Detectability** and **characterisations** of ET BNS counterparts with WST

#### Analyse how the results depend on the **observable properties** of the BNS population



redshift sky localisation viewing angle magnitude



# Percentage of detectable KN at different times post-merger

1 year of ET operations

**KN model** 

od O

AT2017

IFS



#### 10 years of ET operations

IFS





Bisero et al. 2025 in prep

White: ET+CE BNS detections in 10 years of operations Grey: Vera Rubin Observatory KN detections **Colored**: <u>WST</u> **KN** detections

2830







Bisero et al. 2025 in prep

#### White: ET+CE BNS detections in 10 years of operations Grey: Vera Rubin Observatory KN detections **Colored**: <u>WST</u> **KN** detections

See Hazra's Talk!



2830







Bisero et al. 2025 in prep





# ET-WST synergy

Observing strategy

#### GW alert : estimate of luminosity distance and sky localisation

How many galaxies can be found in the **comoving error volume** of each BNS?

 $n_{\text{gal}} \sim V_C \cdot n_{m_1 < m < m^2}$ 

Number of galaxies whose distance is consistent with the 3D GW localisation



 $D_L - \Delta D_L \to z - \delta z$  $\int_{z-\delta z}^{z+\delta z} \frac{d^2 V_C}{d\Omega dz} dt$  $V_C \sim \Omega$ 

**Schechter function parameters** from Ilbert et al. 2005

 $rm_{2}$  $\Phi(m) dm$  $n_{m_1 < m < m^2}$  $[mag^{-1}Mpc^{-3}]$  $[Mpc^{-3}]$ XV ET Symposium - May 28th, 2025









### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

**Credits: HST** 





### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

### The KN and its host galaxy are superposed point sources, with the host outshining the KN

HST Credits:







### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

# HST **Credits:**

Fiber aperture







#### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

# HST **Credits:**

Fiber aperture



The KN is offset from its host galaxy, increasing the risk of missing the detection



### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

HST edit

# Galaxies typically brighter than KN

Fiber aperture







#### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

HST

# Fiber aperture

Galaxies typically brighter than KN

**Spectral subtraction needed** in these cases







#### Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:

S

# Fiber aperture

Galaxies typically brighter than KN

**Spectral subtraction needed** in these cases





- SGRB offset is larger than fibres aperture and larger than the host  $r_e$  in most cases - Surface brightness at the SGRB location is **comparable or** fainter than KN magnitude



### **Possible issues with a galaxy-targeted strategy:**

HSH

# Fiber aperture

Galaxies typically brighter than KN

**Spectral subtraction needed** in these cases





+ IFS for the closest events



### **Alternative strategy:**



XV ET Symposium - May 28th, 2025

# Target counterpart candidates from wide-field photometric telescopes observations (Vera Rubin Observatory) with WST fibres





# Conclusions and future prospects

- counterparts of next generation GW detections
- WST can be used both alone and in synergy with optical-NIR photometric observations
- With WST, KN can be unveiled up to z~0.4 and AB magnitude ~25
- high redshift

This work can be adapted to make predictions for LVK 05, with IFS and MOS facilities available at the time of O5 operations

- IFS and MOS with WST are well suited for the identification and characterisation of EM

- GRB afterglows contribution is observable for systems with small viewing angle, up to ~15°, also at









# Conclusions and future prospects

- counterparts of next generation GW detections
- WST can be used both alone and in synergy with optical-NIR photometric observations
- With WST, KN can be unveiled up to z~0.4 and AB magnitude ~25
- high redshift

- This work can be adapted to make predictions for LVK 05, with IFS and MOS facilities available at the time of O5 operations

- IFS and MOS with WST are well suited for the identification and characterisation of EM

- GRB afterglows contribution is observable for systems with small viewing angle, up to ~15°, also at

# Thank you!















# ET-WST synergy Galaxies in the BNS comoving volume





![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

Loffredo +24

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

Telescope aperture (M1)	12 m seeing limited					
Telescope FoV	3.1 deg <sup>2</sup>					
Telescope Spec. range	0.35-1.6 μm					
Operations	MOS and IFS simultaneous operations ToO implemented at telescope and fibre level					
Modes	MOS-LR	MOS-HR	IFS			
FoV	3.1 deg <sup>2</sup>	3.1 deg <sup>2</sup>	3x3 arcmin <sup>2</sup> (mosaic on 9x9 arcmin <sup>2</sup> )			
Spectral range (simultaneous)	0.37-0.97 μm	0.37-0.97 μm 3-4 windows	0.37-0.97 μm			218
Spectral resolution	4000	40000	3500			
Multiplexing	20000	2000		WST		
				channel	spectral range [Å]	best throughpu range [Å]

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

	W 5 1			
channel	spectral range [Å]	best throughpu range [Å]		
		IFS		
blue	3700-6100	4800-5800		
red	6000-9600	6500-7500		
		MOS		
blue	3700-5350	4800-5300		
orange	5150 - 7400	6000-7000		
red	7200-9700	7300-8300		

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)